Kindly take a moment to study <u>MISHNAS CHAYIM</u> in the merit of Golda Yehudis *bas* Yechiel *a*"*h* a fellow Jew who passed away with no relatives to arrange Torah study on behalf of her *neshamah*

Like Son Like Father

PARSHAS LECH LECHA 5778

Happy Ending

Now, Rashi informs us of a most fortunate development – namely, that Avraham's father, Terach, eventually did *teshuvah* (repentance). This he derives from the *passuk* in this week's *parshah*, when Hashem refers to Avraham's eventual passing: הַאָּלָאֲבָתֶיךָ בְּשָׁלוֹם – "And you will come to your fathers in peace" (*Bereishis 15:15*). The implication is that Avraham would meet up with his late father, Terach, upon entering the Next World. Rashi wonders how this could be; after all, Terach was an idolator – what would he be doing waiting for Avraham in Gad Eden? It must be, Rashi concludes, that Terach repented while still alive.

While obviously a happy circumstance, there appears to be somewhat of a difficulty with Rashi's conclusion, as we shall see. The issue arises from a landmark principle that emerges from the following Mishnah (*Sanhedrin 10:2*):

שְׁלשָׁה מְלָכִים... אֵין לָהֶם חֵלֶק לָעוֹלָם הַבָּא... יָרָבְעָם, אַחְאָב, וּמְנַשֶּׁה.

"There are three (Biblical) kings... who do not have a share in the World to Come (on account of their wickedness): ... Yeravam, Achav, and Menasheh."

The Gemara (*Sanhedrin 104a*) wonders why this list is not longer; seemingly, there were other candidates whose wickedness would more than qualify them for forfeiture of their portion in the Afterlife. One of these figures is King Amon (son of Menasheh), of whom the *passuk* states: אַשָּׁמָה אָבִיו... כִּי הוּא אָמון הַרְבָּה אַשְׁמָה - "And he did that which was evil in the eyes of Hashem, as Menasheh his father had done... Yet he, Amon, exceeded in (his) guilt" (*Divrei Hayamim II 33:22,23*). Why, then, would Menasheh be included in the list, but not his more wicked son Amon?

The answer, continues the Gemara, is that Amon had a saving grace: namely, his righteous son Yoshiyahu. Thus is introduced the monumental notion of "*B*'ra mezaka aba - A son conveys merit to his father." True, Amon himself excelled in wickedness; but he was able to attain a portion in the World to Come simply by dint of his meritorious son.

Up the Chain

Indeed, Rabbinic literature records a number of such occurrences. One prominent example of the "*B*'ra mezakeh aba" principle, involving the Sage R' Zemira'ah, is related in the Medrash Ha'ne'elam (cited by the Chafetz Chayim [Shemiras Ha'lashon, sec. 3, ch. 7]). R' Zemira'ah encountered a forlorn, disembodied soul that had been languishing in the torments of Gehinnom. The Sage took it upon himself to attempt to secure for him a rectification. He thus sought out the surviving son of this unfortunate, who turned out to be a sinner like his father. Nonetheless, R' Zemira'ah took the son under his wing, training him in mitzvah observance and teaching him Torah. The pupil advanced exponentially in both the academic and spiritual sense, developing into a tremendous Torah scholar in his own right. Shortly thereafter, R' Zemira'ah was visited again by the apparition, but this time was treated to glad tidings. The soul informed him of how his own plight was alleviated in conjunction with his son's spiritual advancement – to the point that he himself was brought into Gan Eden and granted a throne among the righteous.

In any event, this notion poses something of an issue, it would seem, for Rashi's assertion that Terach must have repented. As proof, Rashi had pointed to the fact that Hashem told Avraham that he would meet his father in Gan Eden; why would an idolater be there unless he had mended his ways? Based on the above, however, the proof seems to dissolve. It is quite possible, because of his righteous son, that Terach was able to enter Gan Eden even if he remained wicked until his dying day – just as Yoshiyahu was responsible for ensuring a portion in the World to Come for his father, Amon. *B'ra mezakeh aba*. Indeed, the Ramban actually maintains this position, contending that Terach did *not* repent, but was brought into Gan Eden because of Avraham's righteousness. What, then, would Rashi answer? How was he so sure of his own contention?

The answer, explains the Adnei Paz (cited in K'motzei Shalal Rav, parshas Lech Lecha), is apparent from the verse itself, which utilizes the plural form: אָלאָבָּעָיךָ בְּשָׁלוֹם – "And you will come to your **fathers** in peace." In other words, it seems that not only was Avraham's father Terach awaiting his arrival in Gan Eden, but his grandfather Nachor was there as well. Thus, Terach's own sojourn in Gad Eden could not be attributed solely to the righteousness of his own son; while that would have facilitated his own entry, it would not have extended to yet another, earlier generation. How, then, did Avraham's grandfather merit a portion in Gan Eden? He was also an idolater! It must be that he was able to rely on the righteousness of his son Terach. Hence, as Rashi declared, we see that Terach must have repented in his lifetime, thereby meriting Gan Eden not only for himself, but his own father, as well.

Mishnas Chayim is brought to you by Chevrah Lomdei Mishnah, a network of Torah scholars dedicated to bringing the merits of Mishnah study to the greater Jewish public. Encompassing Mishnah, Gemara, and variety of other services, Chevrah Lomdei Mishnah primarily assists mourners interested in acquiring the merit of Torah study for their loved ones. Contact us at (732)364-7029 or at www.ChevrahLomdeiMishnah.org.